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Introduction and summary

For the past several decades, U.S. policymakers at every level have highlighted the need to close the educational achievement gaps between racial and socioeconomic groups. One much-needed improvement is better alignment across the preschool-to-third-grade continuum. Knowing that gaps begin before children enter kindergarten, understanding what children experience as they enter their formal education is vital in order to inform policies that can improve alignment across the early learning and K-12 education systems and ultimately support better outcomes for all children.

Given the important foundation that the earliest years of life establish for children’s long-term success, this report seeks to understand whether they are getting the support and quality experiences they need in early childhood programs and early elementary classrooms—namely, in kindergarten, first grade, and second grade. Using nationally representative data to examine children’s experiences in the early years of their education, this report asks two key questions. First, to what extent are children accessing high-quality early education by race and socioeconomic status? And second, once children reach elementary school, does exposure to quality classrooms with teaching practices that build on and strengthen that early foundation vary for children from different racial and socioeconomic backgrounds?

The answers to these questions reveal the gaps in access to quality early childhood programs and highlight the need for improvements in teacher preparation and ongoing training. The data also highlight the lack of common measures of quality across early childhood programs and early elementary experiences and the need for better alignment across the preschool-to-third-grade continuum. For this reason, the report’s research is presented in two sections. The first section describes access to quality early education programs; the second examines if current teaching practices provide regular exposure to the skills identified within state standards at each grade level. The two sections of the report show that:
• Access to high-quality early childhood education is limited and varies by program type and a child’s racial and socioeconomic background. Specifically, among children with access to center-based prekindergarten programs, Hispanic children are more likely to access high quality than black children, and children from middle-income families have the least access to high quality.

• Measures of quality in early childhood and those in early elementary school are not similar or aligned.

• In early elementary grades—kindergarten through second grade—teachers appear to spend less time developing the higher-order thinking skills that are critical for school success, despite the high expectations outlined in state education standards.

As federal, state, and local policymakers debate next steps in aligning the nation’s early childhood education systems and policies, the following recommendations should be considered:

• Expand access to high-quality early education by increasing investments at the federal, state, and local levels

• Create aligned quality standards between early childhood programs and third grade and develop consistent metrics and data systems to track access to quality between these years

• Ensure that teacher preparation programs and professional development opportunities incorporate information about children’s development in all domains to support higher-order skill building

Continuous access to high-quality learning environments and experiences between preschool and third grade would better support children’s early development and contribute to success in their academic career and beyond.
Background

Data from the National Assessment of Educational Progress, or NAEP—which examines student proficiency in reading and math—shows that while progress has been made, significant racial gaps in test scores still exist. In 2015, NAEP data showed an overall increase in scores compared to the first assessment in the early 1970s: “In both reading and mathematics at all ... ages, Black students made larger gains from the early 1970s than White students,” and “Hispanic students made larger gains from the 1970s than White students in reading at all ... ages and in mathematics at ages 13 and 17.” Yet, as Figure 1 demonstrates, gaps still remain between white and Asian children and other racial groups.

**FIGURE 1**

Gaps in educational achievement persist by children’s race and ethnic background

Trend in fourth-grade NAEP mathematics average scores, by race and ethnicity, 1990–2015


These gaps are evident from the earliest stages of life, particularly among disadvantaged children. Cognitive disparities, for example, are evident at age 9 months, with significant gaps noticeable at 24 months.\(^3\) By the time children enter kindergarten at age 5, only 48 percent of poor children are ready for school, compared with 75 percent of moderate- or high-income children.\(^4\) Among poor children, 30 percent score low on reading readiness and 26 percent lack readiness in math skills.\(^5\)

Researchers have long understood that high-quality early childhood education can help close school-readiness gaps. Studies of the statewide pre-K programs in Georgia and Oklahoma and the Boston Public Schools’ Department of Early Childhood have demonstrated that children with access to high-quality early childhood education make substantial gains during their pre-K year.\(^6\) Additional research from the HighScope Perry Preschool Study, Chicago Child-Parent Centers, and Carolina Abecedarian Preschool has shown that high-quality programs have lasting effects later in school, including decreases in grade retention and special education placement,\(^7\) and in life, from a reduced need for public assistance to lower crime rates and higher earnings.\(^8\)

In other words, a substantial body of research has long demonstrated that preschool closes school-readiness gaps—if it is high quality. In addition, research has shown that children from low-income communities are more likely to attend elementary schools with fewer dimensions of high quality, minimizing the effect of high-quality early childhood programs and contributing to achievement gaps.\(^9\)

To close these gaps, children must have access to high-quality, aligned programs between their preschool years and third grade. To help children succeed, classrooms in each year of the preschool-to-third-grade continuum should incorporate all elements of quality, as well as be designed to promote children’s development and support higher-order skills in literacy and math while growing social and emotional skills. Yet the lack of common quality measures and approaches to teaching and learning across the preschool-to-third-grade continuum means that for many young children—especially those with multiple risk factors—the promise of a high-quality experience fails to materialize.
What ECLS data reveal about preschool quality

The most recent Early Childhood Longitudinal Study-Birth Cohort, or ECLS-B, data provide a snapshot of who has access to quality preschool nationally, with a focus on how quality differs by program type, race, and income. These data suggest that despite increased public spending and tighter policy regulations, program quality remains mediocre for most children enrolled in center-based early childhood programs in the United States. As a result, too many children enter kindergarten unprepared, lagging far behind their more school-ready peers.10

In the following sections, quality is assessed using the Early Childhood Environment Rating Scale-Revised, or ECERS-R. The ECERS has long been the standard, most commonly used comprehensive observational measure of quality for preschool classroom environments. It combines 43 items that measure classroom environment, including space and furnishings, personal care routines, language reasoning, activities, interactions, program structure, and parents and staff. The ECERS rating falls on a scale of 1 to 7, with 1 indicating inadequate quality, 3 indicating minimal quality, 5 indicating good quality, and 7 indicating excellent quality.12 Dozens of investigations have demonstrated an association between higher scores on ECERS observations and children’s developmental outcomes.13

Quality by program type

Overall, ECLS-B data show that most children enrolled in an early childhood program are in one rated between minimal quality and good quality by the ECERS, as shown in Figure 2. However, income and race can create varying access to the highest-quality programs. Of the five types of early childhood education programs identified in the ECLS-B—including public school programs, private school programs, child care centers, Head Start programs, and preschool and nursery programs—ECERS scores show that Head Start programs rate highest of all program types, with an average score just below the 5-point level of good quality on the ECERS scale.

The Early Childhood Longitudinal Study

The ECLS is a program of the U.S. Department of Education that includes three longitudinal studies designed to be nationally representative of the cohorts of children surveyed. The studies were constructed to examine children’s early educational experience, as well as their developmental progress, school readiness, and school achievement.11 This report looks at data from the birth cohort, or ECLS-B, which was collected between 2001 and 2008, and the kindergarten cohort update, or ECLS-K, which began collecting data in 2011 and is ongoing. Each data set is the most recently available of its kind. For more information on the data, see Appendix D.
These findings align closely with previous multistate studies on early childhood education quality that used ECERS, including the 1995 “Cost, Quality, and Child Outcomes Study”\textsuperscript{14} and the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development’s 1998 “Study of Early Child Care and Youth Development.”\textsuperscript{15}

Access to quality for children in Head Start

While ECERS data in the ECLS-B data set suggest that Head Start provides the highest-quality early education on average, analysis shows that this is not true for all children. Figure 3 shows that, of all racial groups, black children typically have access to the Head Start programs that receive the lowest ECERS scores compared with their white and Hispanic peers, although Head Start does provide some of the highest-quality care available to black children. For white and Hispanic children, Head Start programs remain the highest-quality programs on average.\textsuperscript{16}
In fact, unlike other racial groups, African American children are most likely to access high-quality early childhood programs if they are in public pre-K or preschool and nursery school programs. Head Start programs serving African American children are not providing the lowest quality available to this population, but this finding is particularly disconcerting considering that African American children represent nearly one-third of the Head Start population.\(^{17}\)
Access to quality early childhood programs by children’s race or ethnicity

The ECERS scale considers programs to be low quality if they are rated less than 3 on the scale, mediocre quality if they are between 3 and 5, and high quality if they are more than 5. This provides a scale to examine which children have access to high- and low-quality programs. Using this scale, ECLS-B data reveal that regardless of race or ethnicity, most students are enrolled in an early childhood education program that only meets mediocre quality standards, as defined by the ECERS. Comparing access to center-based early education by children’s race and ethnicity reveals that most children are in mediocre-quality settings.

A closer look at access to center-based care shows that Hispanic children are the most likely to be in high-quality settings, followed closely by their white peers. Meanwhile, black children are the least likely to be in high-quality settings and, worse yet, most likely to be in low-quality early childhood programs. Ten percent of black children are in low-quality programs—a higher proportion than Asian, Hispanic, and white children. Other researchers have confirmed this trend.18
Access to quality early childhood programs by family income

The ECLS-B data also show that access to quality varies by a child’s household income, with roughly half of the children in each income quartile attending mediocre-quality programs. Data suggest that children from the lowest-income households are the least likely to be in high-quality early childhood settings. This is particularly concerning because fewer than 50 percent of poor children are school ready by age 5, and they stand to gain the most from high-quality early education.¹⁹

Interestingly, this analysis shows that moderate-income children are most likely to be in low-quality programs. This finding is not new—several studies have found that lower-middle-income families have less access to quality care than children from low-income families. This is likely due to the availability of programs such as Head Start or other publicly funded pre-K programs that are generally targeted toward low-income families.²⁰
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**FIGURE 6**

Access to quality pre-kindergarten varies by income

Proportion of children in low-, mediocre-, and high-quality center-based pre-kindergarten by family income in 2005
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While it is well known that high-quality early childhood experiences matter for children, data suggest that few children actually access these opportunities and that children from poor backgrounds and children of color are the least likely to have them. Thus, many children start their experience in the public education system already behind. Lack of access to high-quality early childhood education is a key barrier to improving quality across the preschool-to-third-grade continuum and improving achievement at the third-grade level.
Classroom experiences between preschool and third grade

Reflecting broader research and practice inconsistencies on how to define and measure effective education settings across the preschool-to-third-grade continuum, the ECLS-K does not provide a global measure of quality in the same way as the ECLS-B. Analysis in the following section examines the frequency of teaching practices that facilitate skill development at each grade level from preschool to second grade. While teaching practices are not a measure of quality, they do give information on whether children have access to high-quality instruction that promotes problem solving, supports the development of higher-level skills, and may help to close achievement gaps.

What do children learn in preschool?

Analysis of ECLS-B data indicates that children enrolled in center-based early childhood programs are frequently exposed to instruction that facilitates the development of important foundational skills. On a weekly basis, more than 90 percent of preschool students spend time learning the names of letters and seeing print while reading, more than 80 percent practice writing, and 89 percent work on writing their own names. Similarly, more than 90 percent of preschool students practice counting out loud at least once per week, and 90 percent use geometric manipulatives.

Teachers in higher-quality early education programs are more likely to provide children with activities and instruction that facilitate the development of skills across a range of domains than teachers in lower-quality programs. Thus, children who have access to high-quality learning environments enter kindergarten far ahead of their peers who did not receive these supports. Based on analyses discussed earlier in this report and other similar studies, children from low-income backgrounds and children of color have less access to high-quality programs, which means they are less likely to receive the supports they need to develop crucial early literacy and numeracy skills. This early disadvantage may put them behind upon entry into kindergarten.
Research suggests that instruction in the early elementary grades may do little to close the gaps. The Center for Advanced Study of Teaching and Learning examined more than 4,000 pre-K, first-, third-, and fifth-grade classrooms, and found that teachers spent much of their time on basic skills building; spent more time on literacy than other skills; and spent up to 30 percent of their time on routines and classroom management. Those early years are key to fostering children’s ongoing school success. Thus, it is crucial that young students are exposed to instruction that promotes higher-order thinking and problem solving—not just basic skills and routines—in kindergarten and beyond. The following analyses examines whether or not children are exposed to the skills identified in standards as critical to their development in kindergarten, first grade, and second grade.

What do children learn in kindergarten?

Given the importance of the kindergarten year in identifying and alleviating gaps, it is vital to understand what children should know and be able to do before completing kindergarten and whether evidence suggests that they are reaching these goals. There are a variety of sources that recommend what children should know and be able to do in kindergarten. The National Education Goals Panel, the National Council of Teachers of Mathematics, and, more recently, the Common Core States Standards Initiative developed standards and expectations in English language arts and mathematics skills. Research demonstrates that most children are able to master these skills and that these expectations benefit children, despite claims that standards are too high for young children.

Research finds that at the end of kindergarten, children increased their knowledge of letter sounds, letter-sound relationships at the beginning and end of words, and recognized more sight words. In math, children increased their knowledge of basic numbers and shapes, relative size, and number ordinality. However, the gaps identified at kindergarten entry across racial groups and for children with risk factors continue at the end of kindergarten and widen as children reach the third grade. For this reason, it is important to understand exactly what is being taught to kindergarten students, especially those who may enter kindergarten behind their peers.
English language arts

Early literacy instruction is critical to children’s success. According to researchers at the National Association for the Education of Young Children, this generally means that:

*Children need to learn mainstay concepts and skills of written language from which more complex and elaborated understandings and motivations arise, such as grasp of the alphabetic principle, recognition of basic text structures, sense of genre, and a strong desire to know. They need to learn phonological awareness, alphabet letter knowledge, the functions of written language, a sense of meaning making from texts, vocabulary, rudimentary print knowledge (e.g., developmental spelling) and the sheer persistence to investigate print as a meaning making tool.*

In short, by the end of kindergarten, children are expected to gain knowledge in letters, print recognition, and phoneme awareness; recognize words; begin to read, spell, and write; and demonstrate increased vocabulary and knowledge of the world.

If children are expected to master the components of the standards for English language arts, they need to be exposed to various aspects of language, literacy, storytelling, and vocabulary on a regular basis. The data show that the majority of children in kindergarten receive instruction in these skills at least weekly.

**FIGURE 7**

Most kindergarten students receive weekly instruction in key literacy skills

Percent of kindergarten students who receive such instruction weekly

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reading</th>
<th>Writing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Listening to teacher read stories while students see print</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recognizing the alphabet and letters</td>
<td>97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Writing their own name</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Writing the alphabet</td>
<td>96%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

While it is encouraging that 90 percent or more of the nation’s kindergarten students have teachers who work on these basic skills, additional information demonstrates that children may not have access to the more advanced skills that are also needed to be successful in kindergarten and beyond.

The National Institute for Literacy, or NIFL, recommends that by the end of kindergarten, children should be able to predict what will happen in a story and retell or act out stories.\(^{35}\) Similarly, according to the Common Core, “with prompting and support, [children should be able to] retell familiar stories, including key details” by the end of kindergarten.\(^{36}\) And yet, ECLS-K data show that only about half of children in kindergarten, regardless of race and socioeconomic status, receive this important instruction three or more times per week. (see Appendix A)

As children learn to explore texts, they are also learning to identify important information. The NIFL notes that by the end of kindergarten, children should be able to use what they know to understand stories, to predict what will happen in the story, and to retell and act out stories. The more recent Common Core standards similarly note that children should, “with prompting and support, identify the main topic and retell key details of a text.”\(^{37}\) The data suggest that while most children receive instruction in basic literacy skills such as letter recognition, seeing print and hearing stories, and writing letters, a significant portion are not receiving this type of instruction and thereby may not be gaining a deeper understanding of the meaning of print more than three times per week. Such understanding is a necessary building block for developing comprehension skills.

**Math skills**

Research shows that early math skills are highly predictive of academic success in both mathematics and reading.\(^{38}\) Children who start behind in math skills have a hard time making up the gap.\(^{39}\) Math skills include literacy skills, understanding of numbers and shapes, and the ability to draw inferences and use logic through basic math applications such as addition and subtraction, as well as algebraic and geometric concepts.\(^{40}\) Nearly all children recognize basic numbers and shapes when they enter kindergarten, and about 60 percent understand relative size.\(^{41}\) However, previous studies show that black and Hispanic children and low-income children were less likely to demonstrate these skills.\(^{42}\)
Both the National Council of Teachers of Mathematics, or NCTM, standards and the Common Core emphasize basic counting and understanding shapes. In the introduction to the kindergarten math standards, the Common Core notes, “In Kindergarten, instructional time should focus on two critical areas: (1) representing and comparing whole numbers, initially with sets of objects; (2) describing shapes and space.” Available data show that there is a difference in the instructional time given to these two important areas. While nearly all children in kindergarten receive instruction in writing numbers 1 through 10 and are taught to understand the relationship between numbers and their quantity on at least a weekly basis, one-third of children do not receive regular instruction in shape recognition, and one-quarter are not regularly given the opportunity to work with manipulatives to understand two- and three-dimensional shapes.

While teachers are clearly spending more time on numbers, there are still areas in which teachers may be missing opportunities to build children's skills. Regardless of race or poverty level, only about one-third of children are working on counting beyond 100 more than three times per week. A similar pattern is true for other important skills, such as identifying geometric shapes and reading graphs. (see Appendix C) Furthermore, most kindergarten children are not exposed to geometric skills on a regular basis.
Beyond counting and identifying shapes, there are other skills—outlined below and in Appendix A—that help children use information to show relationships between groups of numbers. In kindergarten, data show that few children are exposed to these skills, regardless of race or economic status. Only about one-third of children are being taught to read graphs more than three times per week. Research looking at the same variables corroborates these findings and proves that kindergarten mathematics instruction time tends to focus on skills that students have already mastered rather than expanding children’s knowledge and skills.\textsuperscript{44} While it is encouraging to find that access to these skills in kindergarten does not vary much by race or income, it is discouraging that teaching practices seem to focus less on developing higher-order skills that are more predictive of student outcomes at the end of the kindergarten year.\textsuperscript{45}

What do children learn in the first and second grades?

Whether children are exposed to and build conceptual knowledge and problem-solving skills in kindergarten matters as they move through school.\textsuperscript{46} Research has found that gaps in reading and math skills that exist at kindergarten entry remain into first grade.\textsuperscript{47} Furthermore, the skills children build in the first and second grade—when they are learning to read—contribute to their ability to successfully complete third grade, when they move from “learning to read” to “reading to learn.”\textsuperscript{48} In “Early Warning! Why Reading by the End of Third Grade Matters,” the Annie E. Casey Foundation notes that “low achievement in reading has important long-term consequences in terms of individual earning potential, global competitiveness, and general productivity.”\textsuperscript{49}

In 2000, the National Reading Panel found that successfully teaching children to read encompassed a number of approaches, including phonemic awareness, phonics, fluency, guided oral reading, vocabulary, and reading comprehension strategies, including summarizing material.\textsuperscript{50} These are reflected in the Common Core standards for first-grade reading,\textsuperscript{51} which include that children should be able to:

- Demonstrate understanding of spoken words, syllables, and sounds (phonemes).
- Distinguish long from short vowel sounds in spoken single-syllable words.
- Orally produce single-syllable words by blending sounds (phonemes), including consonant blends.
• Isolate and pronounce initial, medial vowel, and final sounds (phonemes) in spoken single-syllable words.

• Segment spoken single-syllable words into their complete sequence of individual sounds (phonemes).

• Ask and answer questions about key details in a text.

• Identify the main topic and retell key details of a text.

• Describe the connection between two individuals, events, ideas, or pieces of information in a text.

First-grade teachers are working to build these skills, yet the data suggest that skills that focus more on comprehension seem to be less prevalent. The data show that more than three-quarters of first-grade children—across all racial and income groups—receive more than 80 days of instruction between the start of school and the spring semester on manipulating phonemes to form new words and breaking words into sounds. The focus on phonemic awareness and phonics may lead to challenges down the road, as children need to draw inferences from the text to be successful readers in later elementary school.
First-grade literacy and math teaching practices, by child’s race and ethnicity

Percent of children who are taught these skills on more than 80 days by the spring of the school year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>White</th>
<th>Black</th>
<th>Hispanic</th>
<th>Asian</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Literacy</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breaking spoken words into sounds</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generating questions about characters, settings, and plots</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Math</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identifying the numbers that represent the 10's and 1's places in two-digit numbers</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Answering questions about data in a picture graph or bar graph</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIGURE 10
First-grade literacy and math teaching practices, by family’s income

Percent of children who are taught these skills on more than 80 days by the spring of the school year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Literacy</th>
<th>Less than $20,000</th>
<th>$20,001–$50,000</th>
<th>$50,001–$100,000</th>
<th>More than $100,001</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Breaking spoken words into sounds</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>81%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generating questions about</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>characters, settings, and plots</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Math</th>
<th>Less than $20,000</th>
<th>$20,001–$50,000</th>
<th>$50,001–$100,000</th>
<th>More than $100,001</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Identifying the numbers that</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>represent the 10’s and 1’s places</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in two-digit numbers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Answering questions about</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>data in a picture graph or bar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>graph</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Common Core standards in math also build on the work in kindergarten. A selection of Common Core standards shows that first-graders are building skills to be able to:\(^5^4\)

- Count to 120, starting at any number less than 120. In this range, read and write numerals and represent a number of objects with a written numeral.

- Understand that the two digits of a two-digit number represent amounts of tens and ones.

- Understand that the numbers from 11 to 19 are composed of a ten and one, two, three, four, five, six, seven, eight, or nine ones.

- Understand that the numbers 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80, 90 refer to one, two, three, four, five, six, seven, eight, or nine tens (and 0 ones).

- Organize, represent, and interpret data with up to three categories; ask and answer questions about the total number of data points, how many in each category, and how many more or less are in one category than in another.

These skills do not appear to be the norm in first-grade classrooms. Only about one-third of first-graders in any racial or income group are asked to count beyond 100 on more than 80 days in the school year. Even fewer first-graders are asked to read graphs on a regular basis; only about 15 percent of children are asked to answer questions about data in a picture graph or bar graph on more than 80 days in the school year. (see Appendix B)

The data seem to highlight some of the gaps between what children are expected to know and be able to do and how teachers utilize valuable classroom time. Similar to trends identified in kindergarten, first-grade teachers do not seem to be moving children to the more challenging skills needed to understand deeper math concepts. Indeed, as a recent Center for American Progress report noted, teaching more conceptual math is not a new approach, and teaching math in this way improves students’ ability to problem solve and master mathematical reasoning.\(^5^5\)

Similar trends continue into second grade as well. The Common Core identifies a number of English language arts skills that students should be working toward during their second-grade year, including the ability to:\(^5^6\)
• Describe how characters in a story respond to major events and challenges.

• Describe the overall structure of a story, including how the beginning introduces the story and the ending concludes the action.

• Use information gained from the illustrations and words in a print or digital text to demonstrate understanding of its characters, setting, or plot.

• Distinguish long vowels and short vowels when reading regularly spelled one-syllable words.

• Read with sufficient accuracy and fluency to support comprehension.

• Write opinion pieces in which they introduce the topic or book they are writing about, state an opinion, supply reasons that support the opinion, use linking words (e.g., because, and, also) to connect opinion and reasons, and provide a concluding statement or section.

As children age, they are required to demonstrate more complex literacy and math skills under the Common Core standards. Yet, similar to earlier grade levels, while frequency of instruction does not vary significantly by race or income level, children seem to be underexposed to skills that are more challenging. As the data show, the majority of children—more than three-quarters for all groups except Asian children—routinely read accurately and fluently. However, describing the reaction of characters in a story, using information gleaned from illustrations or text, and writing opinion pieces were much less likely to be part of the daily activity of second-grade students. Roughly one-third of students were routinely exposed to activities in which they described how characters in a story respond to challenges—one way to demonstrate comprehension. Even fewer—6 percent to 15 percent—wrote opinion pieces more than three times per week, even as this activity is a way for teachers to assess vocabulary comprehension and for students to begin organizing information and processing it for an audience. 
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FIGURE 11
Second-grade literacy and math teaching practices, by child’s race and ethnicity

Percent of children who are taught these skill on more than 80 days by the spring of the school year

**Literacy**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Skill</th>
<th>White</th>
<th>Black</th>
<th>Hispanic</th>
<th>Asian</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reading accurately and fluently to support comprehension</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Describing how characters respond to major events and challenges in a story</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Math**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Skill</th>
<th>White</th>
<th>Black</th>
<th>Hispanic</th>
<th>Asian</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adding and subtracting numbers that are equal to 20 or less</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drawing shapes when given specified attributes, such as the number of angles or the number of sides</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIGURE 12
Second-grade literacy and math teaching practices, by family’s income

Percent of children who are taught these skill on more than 80 days by the spring of the school year

- **Literacy**
  - Reading accurately and fluently to support comprehension
    - Less than $20,000: 78%
    - $20,001–$50,000: 80%
    - $50,001–$100,000: 78%
    - More than $100,001: 77%
  - Describing how characters respond to major events and challenges in a story
    - Less than $20,000: 38%
    - $20,001–$50,000: 34%
    - $50,001–$100,000: 39%
    - More than $100,001: 38%

- **Math**
  - Adding and subtracting numbers that are equal to 20 or less
    - Less than $20,000: 68%
    - $20,001–$50,000: 68%
    - $50,001–$100,000: 75%
    - More than $100,001: 60%
  - Drawing shapes when given specified attributes, such as the number of angles or the number of sides
    - Less than $20,000: 6%
    - $20,001–$50,000: 6%
    - $50,001–$100,000: 3%
    - More than $100,001: 4%

This pattern is even more pronounced when looking at second-grade math teaching practices. A selection of Common Core standards shows that by the end of the second grade, students should be able to:

- Fluently add and subtract within 20 using mental strategies.

- Know from memory all sums of two one-digit numbers.

- Count within 1,000; skip-count by 5s, 10s, and 100s.

- Measure the length of an object by selecting and using appropriate tools such as rulers, yardsticks, meter sticks, and measuring tapes.

- Recognize and draw shapes having specified attributes, such as a given number of angles or a given number of equal faces.

- Identify triangles, quadrilaterals, pentagons, hexagons, and cubes.

Again research shows that while students are generally receiving similar exposure by race and income levels for each skill, children in second grade tend to spend more time developing less-challenging skills—such as simple addition and subtraction—rather than concepts such as measuring, solving word problems, and working with complex geometric shapes. (see Appendix C)

Furthermore, children across all grades from preschool to third grade seem to be spending much less time developing math skills and more time on language, literacy, and readings despite the long-term benefits of early math skills. While the analysis in this paper is limited to examining the frequency of these variables by race and income level, further analysis should explore how these frequencies relate to children’s test outcomes and progress over time; how they relate to the skills that children have mastered at the beginning of each school year; and how closely they align with the curriculum that teachers use in each grade.
Recommendations to improve quality and alignment

The ECLS data provide important information about the learning experiences of young children. These data highlight a number of concerns about access to quality early childhood experiences for poor children, as well as black and Hispanic children. Furthermore, research has shown two important considerations for children during their kindergarten year. First, many children with risk factors enter school behind their peers without such factors. Second, early literacy and math skills predict later school success.

As CAP noted in a 2012 report, “successful transitions from early childhood education programs must begin well before a child starts kindergarten and must continue beyond a child’s enrollment in elementary school.” Yet an analysis of the instructional time during kindergarten shows that many teachers focus on these basic skills and do not spend time building additional skills that are equally important to success. Nor are they building on the foundational skills children have developed in early childhood programs. This could be due to the fact that children are entering kindergarten at different levels of readiness, forcing teachers to spend their time helping some catch up rather than building on existing knowledge. In the first and second grade, there are few differences by race, ethnicity, or income in terms of skill exposure. However, overall skills that require higher-order thinking and put children on the path toward achievement in later grades are not emphasized with the same frequency as more basic skills.

The discrepancies in quality measurement across the data sets also mirrors real-life discrepancies in the way the educational experience is structured from preschool to third grade. In examining access to quality across the preschool-to-third-grade continuum, one of the key challenges identified in this research is the inconsistency in measurement across grades. The understanding of quality in early education centers on teacher and child interactions and environmental quality; in kindergarten and the early years of elementary school, standards focus more on child achievement and what children are expected to know. An analysis of teaching practices and skill building did not present significant differences by race.
and income. While this finding may result from data limitations, it points to the need for more and better data measurement. Despite the fact that many kindergarten children are not developmentally different from preschool children, there is a fundamental shift in how the educational experiences of these two age groups are evaluated, making it difficult to examine children’s experiences as they move through early education and the K-12 education system.

In response, policymakers should address specific challenges and make a number of important changes to program design and implementation to ensure that all children have access to high-quality settings throughout their early education experiences.

Expand access to high-quality early education by increasing investments at the federal, state, and local levels

**Challenge:** Access to quality is limited, especially for poor children and children of color, across early childhood programs and into early elementary school.

At the federal, state, and local community and school district levels, stakeholders need to identify public and private sources of funding for new and expanded investments in high-quality early childhood programs that meet quality standards for children from birth through the age of school entry. This may include strengthening and expanding Head Start, Early Head Start, and state-funded pre-K, in addition to creating additional opportunities for community-based child care providers, schools, and other programs to partner with these programs. It may also require federal and state partners to identify communities where quality early childhood programs are missing and prioritize investments in those areas.

Expanded investments in programs for young children should include ongoing professional development for all teachers that provides knowledge and information about early learning standards. Moreover, investments should be made in programs that help teachers build important skills in young children that promote preliteracy and math skills, as well as support social and emotional development and physical and mental health development. This is especially important for African American children, who are least likely to have access to high-quality early childhood programs, and Hispanic children, who are less likely to be enrolled in early childhood programs.61
In addition, state and local policymakers should expand access to high-quality, full-day and full-year preschool and early learning programs so that all children enter kindergarten with exposure to similar skills across the range of developmental domains. Research has demonstrated the importance of regular and consistent exposure to skill development, especially for children who are most at risk of falling behind in school.\textsuperscript{62}

State leaders must build on the foundations created by high-quality early childhood programs by creating universal access to full-day kindergarten. During this important skill-building year, it is difficult for teachers to provide developmentally appropriate learning opportunities in half-day programs. The range of positive outcomes associated with full-day kindergarten includes improved attendance, increased literacy skills, and expanded access to needed interventions for young students.\textsuperscript{63}

Furthermore, in order to ensure that the content of early childhood programs builds the foundational skills children need to know and be able to do through third grade and beyond, state and local policymakers should work in partnership to develop aligned early learning and K-12 standards for early elementary programs. These standards should support the full range of academic, social, and emotional development from birth through the third grade.\textsuperscript{64} In addition, states and local districts must provide appropriate professional development and supports to teachers to help them understand the standards and scaffold learning opportunities for young children.

\begin{quote}
Create aligned quality standards and develop consistent metrics and data systems
\end{quote}

\textbf{Challenge:} Information about program quality and child outcomes in the early grades across the range of domains is not aligned, coordinated, or shared across programs or agencies at the federal, state, and local levels.

In examining access to quality across the preschool-to-third-grade continuum, one of the key challenges is the inconsistency in measurement across grades. Analysis of access to teaching practices and skill building did not present significant differences by race or income. While this may result from data limitations, it points to the need for more and better data measurement, including measures that look at teacher-child interactions in all grades.
State education agencies should create quality standards for early elementary programs—kindergarten to third grade—that reflect best practices in teaching young children and are inclusive of the needs of all children, regardless of income, race, ethnicity, language background, or special needs. Agencies can draw on best practices in early childhood programs, including observational data on teacher-child interactions and environmental ratings, to develop appropriate and aligned measures of quality in kindergarten, first-grade, and second-grade classrooms. These can be paired with content standards that embody both what children should know and be able to do and include supports for teachers, principals, and other school leaders to implement the standards.

State education agencies, or SEAs, should also expand current content standards in both early childhood and early elementary education to support the full range of children’s development and include cognitive, social, emotional, and physical domains. Academic standards alone may not help students develop the skills they need for success in school and beyond. Standards that emphasize skills such as sharing, self-control, and building relationships with peers and adults have generally been left out of the standards for children in elementary, middle, and high school. Standards should be accompanied by professional development opportunities that highlight the inclusion of these skills as a core component of effective teaching practices.

The U.S. departments of Education and Health and Human Services, as part of the collection and dissemination of large-scale data sets, should identify metrics to track and ensure that these data are a consistent part of nationally representative data sets across all levels of early education from birth to third grade. This may include funding for pilot projects and other activities to support the collection of such data for appropriate sample sizes in order to facilitate uniform data collection. While the Classroom Assessment Scoring System, or CLASS, and similar quality assessments are available for educational settings of all ages—from infants and toddlers through the K-12 years—states and local communities may need technical assistance to implement the evaluations.

At the local level, schools and local providers can work together to create teams across grade levels to share information about children’s development, including developmental screening and assessments—with parental permission—and curricula. This information can help create plans to scaffold learning across grade levels that is individualized to the needs of students coming into kindergarten, first grade, and second grade and help teachers in early learning settings understand the standards and expectations of the early elementary environment.
Ensure that teacher preparation and professional development support higher-order skill building

**Challenge:** There appears to be a mismatch between the high expectations in state college- and career-ready standards and classroom practices.

While the data show that children have limited exposure to the practices needed to build higher-level skills, they do not highlight the reasons why. One solution is to ensure that teacher preparation programs and professional development and learning initiatives incorporate information about children’s development in all domains. Specifically, in the prekindergarten year, professional development should focus on preparing teachers to support child learning in the full range of developmental domains, including cognitive, physical, social, and emotional development. Foundational skills within each domain are essential to prepare children for school and long-term success.

Additionally, between kindergarten and third grade, effective professional development for teachers of the youngest students should avoid didactic learning experiences, focusing instead on teachers’ content knowledge and diversity of teaching methods. Although teachers must be trained in a wide variety of strategies—including learning through play—in order to reach every student, few teacher preparation programs ensure that future teachers receive this kind of training. Some teacher preparation programs, for example, only train elementary teachers in a single mathematics methods class, but several techniques may be required to ensure that young students understand a complex concept. These programs should be expanded to include specific, effective practices that support children’s problem-solving skills and build higher-order skills in literacy and math while developing the social and emotional skills needed to be successful. These programs should be expanded to include specific effective practices that support children’s problem-solving skills and build higher-order skills in literacy and math, while developing the social and emotional skills needed to be successful.

Once teachers in programs serving children from birth through third grade have the preparation and ongoing support needed to develop children’s learning in all domains, state and federal agencies should work together and with national organizations to develop appropriate classroom and program observations that can be used to improve quality. The information collected in these observations...
should be available at the classroom level, school or program level, and state level to identify and share best practices, promote continuous improvement, and target programs needing additional supports, including coaching and mentoring and other technical assistance.

States should routinely gather stakeholders to assess whether alignment of standards and professional development efforts are meeting the needs of students across the birth-to-third-grade continuum. The results of these meetings can be shared widely and used to better align academic standards and those that address social and emotional learning and development. As states improve their alignment, the U.S. Department of Education can gather and analyze the standards and share promising models across the country.
Conclusion

The early childhood field has an abundance of research on what works to support young children and help them gain the skills they need to be successful, including social, emotional, literacy, cognition, and math skills, along with approaches to learning and supports for physical development. Standards for what young children should know and be able to do have been a part of the early elementary landscape for decades. Yet the data show that the high-quality early learning environments that young children need to thrive are not widely available across the country. Moreover, there has been a failure to translate the knowledge of what children should know and be able to do into teaching practices for the early elementary years.

While expanded content standards are being codified at the state and local levels that describe what children are expected to know as they move from kindergarten to third grade, there is still a significant amount of work that needs to be done to ensure continuity across early education and K-12 systems. Federal, state, and local policymakers and stakeholders must help change policies and practices to increase access to the highest-quality programs for children who are most at risk and provide new opportunities, resources, and supports for teachers and families that improve the experiences of children from birth through the third grade.
Appendix A

Table A
Children's access to kindergarten literacy and math teaching practices
Percent of kindergarten students who receive such instruction weekly and three or more times per week by child's race and ethnicity and family's income

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Child's race</th>
<th>White</th>
<th>Black</th>
<th>Hispanic</th>
<th>Asian</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Less than $20,000</td>
<td>$20,001–$50,000</td>
<td>$50,001–$100,000</td>
<td>More than $100,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage of children who received training weekly</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Literacy Retelling stories</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identifying the main idea of a story</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Math Counting beyond 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identifying geometric shapes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading graphs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage of children who received training three or more times per week</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Literacy Retelling stories</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identifying the main idea of a story</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Math Counting beyond 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identifying geometric shapes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading graphs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Appendix B

TABLE B
Children’s access to first-grade literacy and math teaching practices
Percent of children who are taught these skills on more than 80 days by the spring of the school year by child’s race and ethnicity and family’s income

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Child’s race</th>
<th>Household income</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>White</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Literacy skills</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breaking spoken words into sounds</td>
<td>82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Segment words into phonemes</td>
<td>78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manipulating phonemes to form new words</td>
<td>77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retell stories</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generate questions about characters, settings, and plots</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Math skills</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identifying the numbers that represent the 10’s and 1’s places in two-digit numbers</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counting to 120 starting at any number less than 120</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Answering questions about data in a picture graph or bar graph</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Author’s calculations based on data from National Center for Education Statistics, Early Childhood Longitudinal Study: Kindergarten Class of 2010-11 (U.S. Department of Education, 2011)
## Appendix C

### TABLE C1

Children’s access to second-grade literacy teaching practices

Percent of children who are taught these skills on more than 80 days by the spring of the school year by child’s race and ethnicity and family’s income

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Child’s race</th>
<th>White</th>
<th>Black</th>
<th>Hispanic</th>
<th>Asian</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reading accurately and fluently to support comprehension</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Using sentence-level context to gain meaning of a word or phrase</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>51%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distinguishing long and short vowels in one-syllable words</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Using information gained from illustrations or text to demonstrate understanding of a story’s characters, setting, or plot</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Describing overall structure of a story, how the beginning introduces the story or how the ending concludes the action</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Describing how characters in a story respond to major events and challenges</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identifying and knowing the meaning of common prefixes or suffixes</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Writing an opinion piece and giving reasons for the opinion</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Household income</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less than $20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## TABLE C2

**Children’s access to second-grade math teaching practices**

Percent of children who are taught these skills on more than 80 days by the spring of the school year by child’s race and ethnicity and family’s income

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Child’s race</th>
<th>Household income</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>White</td>
<td>Black</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adding and subtracting numbers that are equal to 20 or less</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skip-counting by 5’s, 10’s, and/or 100’s</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solving word problems that involve quarters, dimes, nickles, and pennies</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working with equal-sized groups of objects to gain an understanding of multiplication</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measuring the length of an object in standard units by using tools such as rulers, yardsticks, meter sticks, and/or measuring tape</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drawing shapes when given specified attributes (eg the number of angles or the number of sides)</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identifying triangles, quadrilaterals, pentagons, hexagons, and cubes</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Appendix D: Description of data

The analysis presented in this report is based on two data sets from the Early Childhood Longitudinal Study, or ECLS: its birth cohort, or ECLS-B, and the kindergarten class of 2010-11, or ECLS-K:2011.

The ECLS-B studied the experience of around 14,000 children from across the United States. Data were collected through parent interviews, self-administered questionnaires, resident and nonresident father self-questionnaires, child care provider and center director questionnaires, early care and education provider interviews and observation, and kindergarten teacher questionnaires. Additionally, in each year of data collection, participating children underwent assessments. The longitudinal survey collected data on the cohort of children beginning when they were age 9 months in 2001-02, again at age 2 years in 2003-04, at age 4 years or preschool age in 2006-07, and when children where in kindergarten in 2007.

This report presents analysis of children who were of preschool age and in center-based care. The subsample used for analysis consists of around 4,600 children who are representative of more than 2 million children. A majority—56 percent—of children within this subset are white, while 21 percent are Hispanic, 15 percent are African American, 3 percent are Asian, and 3 percent are two or more races. Similarly, 20 percent are in households with annual incomes less than $20,000, 32 percent between $20,000 and $50,000, 29 percent between $50,000 and $100,000, and 19 percent more than $100,000. Children were also in a variety of center-based child care settings, with 18 percent in a public school, 21 percent in a private school, 11 percent in a child care center, 16 percent in a Head Start program, and 30 percent in a preschool or nursery school.

Data collected in the ECLS-K were used to analyze children in kindergarten and first grade. The ECLS-K study follows approximately 18,000 students, representing more than 4 million children. Data for this study are also collected through a series of instruments and assessment, including parent interviews in the spring and fall of each year, fall and spring teacher questionnaires, and questionnaires.
completed in the spring by special education teachers, new teachers, school administrators, and child care providers and directors.\(^{72}\) Collection of data began in the fall of 2010 and will be completed for the cohort in the spring of 2016.\(^{73}\)

The analysis in this report considers children from the full ECLS-K sample. Within the cohort, 52 percent of children are white, 25 percent are Hispanic, 13 percent are African American, 4 percent are Asian, and 4 percent are two or more races. Children also come from diverse economic backgrounds, with 15 percent in households with annual family income less than $20,000, 23 percent between $20,000 and $50,000, 23 percent between $50,000 and $100,000, and 16 percent more than $100,000.\(^{74}\)
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